GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Servants – Endowments Department – Sri G.Krishna Murthy, former Custodian/Deputy Commissioner of Endowments (Now retired as Additional Commissioner), have involved in the illegal sale and issue of Permanent Pattas by fabricating records of Sri Swamy Hathiramjee Mutt, Tirupati – Charges framed – Inquiry Officer appointed – Filed O.A No.3997 of 2010 - APAT orders dated:04.3.2010 - Set aside charges – Orders implemented - Further action – Dropped – Orders – Issued.

==========

REVENUE (VIGILANCE-IV) DEPARTMENT

G.O.RT.No. 663 **Dated:16.07.2015**

Read the following

1)From G.A (Vigilance & Enforcement) Department Report.No.5 (C.No.1117/V&E/D3/2004, dated:10.01.2005.
2)G.O.Rt.No.1441, Revenue (Vigilance-IV) Department, dated:04.10.2006.
3)Orders of APAT in O.A.No.3997/2009, dated:04.3.2010 filed by Sri G.Krishna Murthy, Additional Commissioner (retired).

&&&&

ORDER:

Whereas, in the reference 1st read above, the Director General, Vigilance & Enforcement, has reported that certain officials of Sri Swamy Hathiramji Mutt, Tirupati are involved in the illegal sale and issue of Permanent Pattas by fabricating records of Sri Swamy Hathiramji Mutt, Tirupati. Accordingly, Government, in the G.O 2nd read above, framed charges against Sri G.Krishna Murthy, Administrative Officer of Sri Swamy Hathiramji Mutt, Tirupati (now retired). But, he did not submit his written statement of defence to the charges framed against him.

2. In the reference 3rd read above, Sri G.Krishna Murthy has filed O.A.No.3997/2010 challenging the charges framed against him in the APAT. The Hon'ble APAT in its orders dated:04.3.2010 among others has ordered as follows:

> "In the present case, the incident took place in 1986 and the proceedings were initiated in 2006. So, the incident took place 20 years prior to the date of retirement of the Applicant and the respondents cannot take action as per sub-clause (1) of clause (b) of Sub-Rule (2) of Rule 9 of the A.PRevised Pension Rules 1980, as no proceedings can be initiated against a retired officer for an incident which took place 4 years prior to the date of his retirement as per this Rule.
>
> Even as per Rule 24 of Andhra Pradesh Civil Services (Conduct) Rules the respondent cannot take any action against the applicant as it is barred by limitation. So, even on this ground also the charge memo dated 04.10.2006 is liable to be set aside and it is accordingly set aside and the O.A is allowed."

3. Government, after careful examination of the matter, have decided to implement the orders of the APAT in O.A.No.3997/2010, dated:04.3.2010 filed by Sri G.Krishna Murthy, Additional Commissioner (retired) and to drop further action against him.

P.T.O.

4. Accordingly, Government hereby order to implement the orders of APAT in O.A.No.3997/2010, dated:04.3.2010 filed by Sri G.Krishna Murthy, Additional Commissioner (Retired) and drop further action against him.

5. The Commissioner of Endowments, Hyderabad shall take necessary action, accordingly.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

J.S.V.PRASAD
PRINCIPAL SECRETARTY TO GOVERNMENT

To
Sri G.Krishna Murthy, Additional Commissioner of Endowments, (Retired)
(through the Commissioner of Endowments, Hyderabad),
The C.ED, Hyd.
The G.A (Vigilance & Enforcement) Department, Hyderabad,
The APVC, Secretariat, Hyderabad,
The G.P, APAT, Hyderabad,
SF/SC.

//FORWARDED::BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER